

# Some new couple common fixed point theorems for a pair of commuting mappings involving quadratic terms in partially ordered complete metric spaces

Rakesh Tiwari and Savita Gupta<sup>1</sup>

1.

## Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to establish some coupled coincidence point for a pair of commuting mappings involving quadratic terms in partially ordered complete metric spaces. We also present a result on the existence and uniqueness of coupled common fixed points. We provide an example to validate our results.

## 1. Introduction

S. Banach [5] proved the famous and well known Banach contraction principle concerning the fixed point of contraction mappings defined on a complete metric space. This theorem has been generalized and extended by many authors see for ([1],[2],[9],[13],[15],[8]) in various ways. Recently, Ran and Reurings [16], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [6], Nieto and Lopez [13], Agarwal, El-Gebeily and O'Regan [15] and Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [7] presented some new results for contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. There after, many authors obtained many coupled coincidence and coupled fixed point theorems in ordered metric spaces (see [1],[3],[11],[12],[13],[14] as examples). For a given partially ordered set, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [6] introduced the concept of coupled fixed point of a mapping. Later Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [10] investigated some more coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered sets. Very recently, Samet [17] extended the results of Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [6] to mappings satisfying a generalized Meir-Keeler contractive condition.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us recall the following definitions of coupled fixed point and mixed monotone properties of a mapping.

**Definition 2.1.**([6]). Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ . The mapping  $F$  is said to have the mixed monotone property if  $F(x, y)$  is monotone nondecreasing in  $x$  and is monotone non increasing in  $y$ , that is, for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $x_1 \preceq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y)$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in X$ ,  $y_1 \preceq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2)$ . This definition

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** Primary : 47H10, 54H25

**Key Words and Phrases :** Coupled fixed point, Partially ordered set, Mixed monotone property.

coincides with the notion of a mixed monotone function on  $R_2$  and represents the usual total order in  $R$ .

**Definition 2.2.** ([6]). We call an element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  a coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ .

The concept of the mixed monotone property is generalized in [10].

**Definition 2.3.** ([10]). Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$ . The mapping  $F$  is said to have the mixed  $g$ -monotone property if  $F$  is monotone  $g$ -nondecreasing in its first argument and is monotone  $g$ -nonincreasing in its second argument, that is, for any  $x, y \in X$

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, g(x_1) \preceq g(x_2) \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y) \tag{1}$$

$$\text{and } y_1, y_2 \in X, g(y_1) \preceq g(y_2) \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2). \tag{2}$$

Clearly, if  $g$  is the identity mapping, then Definition 2.3 reduces to Definition 2.1.

**Definition 2.4.** [6]. An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \times X$  if  $F(x, y) = g(x)$ , and  $F(y, x) = g(y)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings. We say  $F$  and  $g$  commute if  $F(g(x), g(y)) = g(F(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

In this paper we proved, Some new couple common fixed point theorems for a pair of commuting mappings involving quadratic terms in partially ordered complete metric space.

### 3. Main result

The following theorems are our main results.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be an ordered metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings such that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property on  $X$  such that there exist two elements  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  with  $g(x_0) \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $g(y_0) \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$ . Suppose there exist non-negative real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ , with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  such that

$$d^2(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \alpha \min\{d(F(x, y), g(x))d(F(u, v), g(x)), d(F(u, v), g(x))d(F(x, y), g(u))\} + \beta \min\{d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(u)), d(F(u, v), g(x))d(F(x, y), g(u))\} \tag{3}$$

for every  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$  with  $g(x) \preceq g(u)$  and  $g(y) \succeq g(v)$ . Further suppose  $F(X \times X) \rightarrow g(X)$  and  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ . Also, suppose that  $X$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i) if a nondecreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n$ ,
- (ii) if a nonincreasing sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $y \in X$ , then  $y_n \succeq y$  for all  $n$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that  $F(x, y) = g(x)$  and  $F(y, x) = g(y)$ , that is,  $F$  and  $g$  have a coupled coincidence point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  be such that  $g(x_0) \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $g(y_0) \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$ . Since  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ , we can choose  $x_1, y_1 \in X$  such that  $g(x_1) = F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $g(y_1) = F(y_0, x_0)$ . Similarly we construct,  $g(x_2) = F(x_1, y_1)$  and  $g(y_2) = F(y_1, x_1)$ . Continuing in this way we

construct two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X such that,  
 $g(x_{n+1}) = F(x_n, y_n)$  and  $g(y_{n+1}) = F(y_n, x_n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . (4)  
 Now we prove that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$g(x_n) \preceq g(x_{n+1}) \tag{5}$$

and

$$g(y_n) \succeq g(y_{n+1}). \tag{6}$$

We shall use the method of mathematical induction. Let  $n = 0$ . Since  $g(x_0) \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $g(y_0) \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$ , in view of  $g(x_1) = F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $g(y_1) = F(y_0, x_0)$ , we have  $g(x_0) \preceq g(x_1)$  and  $g(y_0) \succeq g(y_1)$ , that is, (5) and (6) hold for  $n = 0$ . We presume that (5) and (6) hold for some  $n > 0$ . As F has the mixed g-monotone property and  $g(x_n) \preceq g(x_{n+1})$ ,  $g(y_n) \succeq g(y_{n+1})$ , from (4), we get

$$g(x_{n+1}) = F(x_n, y_n) \preceq F(x_{n+1}, y_n) \tag{7}$$

and

$$F(y_{n+1}, x_n) \succeq F(y_n, x_n) = g(y_{n+1}). \tag{8}$$

Also for the same reason we have  $g(x_{n+2}) = F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \succeq F(x_{n+1}, y_n)$  and  $F(y_{n+1}, x_n) \succeq F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = g(y_{n+2})$ .

Then from (4) and (5), we obtain  $g(x_{n+1}) \preceq g(x_{n+2})$  and  $g(y_{n+1}) \succeq g(y_{n+2})$ . Thus by the mathematical induction, we conclude that (5) and (6) hold for all  $n \geq 0$ .

We check easily that

$$g(x_0) \preceq g(x_1) \preceq g(x_2) \preceq \dots \preceq g(x_{n+1}) \preceq \dots$$

and

$$g(y_0) \succeq g(y_1) \succeq g(y_2) \succeq \dots \succeq g(y_{n+1}) \succeq \dots$$

Since

$$g(x_n) \succeq g(x_{n-1}) \text{ and } g(y_n) \preceq g(y_{n-1}),$$

Also for the same reason we have

$$g(x_{n+2}) = F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \succeq F(x_{n+1}, y_n) \text{ and } F(y_{n+1}, x_n) \preceq F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = g(y_{n+2}).$$

Then from (4) and (5), we obtain  $g(x_{n+1}) \preceq g(x_{n+2})$  and  $g(y_{n+1}) \succeq g(y_{n+2})$ . Thus by the mathematical induction, we conclude that (5) and (6) hold for all  $n \geq 0$ . We check easily that

$$g(x_0) \preceq g(x_1) \preceq g(x_2) \preceq \dots \preceq g(x_{n+1}) \preceq \dots$$

and

$$g(y_0) \succeq g(y_1) \succeq g(y_2) \succeq \dots \succeq g(y_{n+1}) \succeq \dots$$

Since  $g(x_n) \succeq g(x_{n-1})$  and  $g(y_n) \preceq g(y_{n-1})$ , from (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^2(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_n)) &= d^2(F(x_n, y_n), F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) \\
 &\leq \alpha \min\{d(F(x_n, y_n), g(x_n))d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), g(x_n)), \\
 &\quad d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), g(x_n))d(F(x_n, y_n), g(x_{n-1}))\} \\
 &\quad + \beta \min\{d(F(x_n, y_n), g(x_{n-1}))d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), g(x_{n-1})), \\
 &\quad d(F(x_n, y_n), g(x_{n-1}))d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), g(x_n))\}
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$d^2(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_n)) \leq \beta d^2(g(x_n), g(x_{n-1})). \tag{9}$$

Similarly, since  $g(y_{n-1}) \succeq g(y_n)$  and  $g(x_{n-1}) \preceq g(x_n)$ , from (3) and (4), we have

$$d^2(g(y_n), g(y_{n+1})) \leq \alpha d^2(g(y_n), g(y_{n-1})). \tag{10}$$

From (9) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^2(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_n)) + d^2(g(y_n), g(y_{n+1})) &\leq \beta d^2(g(x_n), g(x_{n-1})) + \alpha d^2(g(y_n), g(y_{n-1})) \\
 &\leq (\alpha + \beta)d^2(g(x_n), g(x_{n-1})) + (\alpha + \beta)d^2(g(y_n), g(y_{n-1})) \\
 &= (\alpha + \beta)[d^2(g(x_n), g(x_{n-1})) + d^2(g(y_n), g(y_{n-1}))].
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\rho_n = d^2(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_n)) + d^2(g(y_{n+1}), g(y_n))$  and  $\delta = \alpha + \beta$ , we get the sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  is decreasing as

$$0 \leq \rho_n \leq \delta \rho_{n-1} \leq \delta^2 \rho_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq \delta^n \rho_0$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d^2(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_n)) + d^2(g(y_{n+1}), g(y_n))] = 0. \tag{11}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^2(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_n)) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^2(g(y_{n+1}), g(y_n)) = 0.$$

In what follows, we shall prove that  $\{g(x_n)\}$  and  $\{g(y_n)\}$  are Cauchy sequences.

For each  $m \geq n$ , we have

$$d^2(g(x_m), g(x_n)) \leq d^2(g(x_m), g(x_{m-1})) + d^2(g(x_{m-1}), g(x_{m-2})) + \dots + d^2(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_n))$$

and

$$d^2(g(y_m), g(y_n)) \leq d^2(g(y_m), g(y_{m-1})) + d^2(g(y_{m-1}), g(y_{m-2})) + \dots + d^2(g(y_{n+1}), g(y_n)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^2(g(x_m), g(x_n)) + d^2(g(y_m), g(y_n)) &\leq \rho_{m-1} + \rho_{m-2} + \dots + \rho_n \\
 &\leq (\delta^{m-1} + \delta^{m-2} + \dots + \delta^n)\rho_0 \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta^n}{1 - \delta}\rho_0
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [d^2(g(x_m), g(x_n)) + d^2(g(y_m), g(y_n))] = 0.$$

This implies that  $\{g(x_n)\}$  and  $\{g(y_n)\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $g(X)$ . Since  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ , there exists  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  such that  $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$  and  $g(y_n) \rightarrow g(y)$ . Since  $\{g(x_n)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence and  $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$  and as  $\{g(y_n)\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence and  $g(y_n) \rightarrow g(y)$ , by assumption we have  $g(x_n) \preceq g(x)$  and  $g(y_n) \succeq g(y)$  for all  $n$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(g(x_{n+1}), F(x, y)) &= d^2(F(x_n, y_n), F(x, y)) \\ &\leq \alpha \min\{d(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_n))d(F(x, y), g(x_n)), d(F(x, y), g(x_n))d(g(x_{n+1}), g(x))\} \\ &\quad + \beta \min\{d(g(x_{n+1}), g(x))d(F(x, y), g(x)), d(F(x, y), g(x))d(g(x_{n+1}), g(x))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $d^2(g(x), F(x, y)) = 0$ . Hence  $g(x) = F(x, y)$ . Similarly, we can show that  $g(y) = F(y, x)$ . Thus we proved that  $F$  and  $g$  have a coupled coincidence point. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings such that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property on  $X$  such that there exist two elements  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  with  $g(x_0) \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $g(y_0) \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$ . Suppose there exist non-negative real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &= \alpha \min\{d(F(x, y), g(x))d(F(u, v), g(x)), d(F(u, v), g(x))d(F(x, y), g(u))\} \\ &\quad + \beta \min\{d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(u)), d(F(x, y), \\ &\quad g(u))d(F(u, v), g(x))\} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$  with  $g(x) \preceq g(u)$  and  $g(y) \succeq g(v)$ . Further suppose  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,  $g$  is continuous nondecreasing and commutes with  $F$ , and also suppose either

- (i)  $F$  is continuous or
- (ii)  $X$  has the following property:
  - (a) if a nondecreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n$ ,
  - (b) if a nonincreasing sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $y \in X$ , then  $y_n \succeq y$  for all  $n$ ,

Then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ , that is,  $F$  has a coupled fixed point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

**Proof.** Following the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have two Cauchy sequences  $\{gx_n\}$  and  $\{gy_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $\{gx_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence in  $X$  and  $\{gy_n\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a complete metric space, there is  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow x$  and  $gy_n \rightarrow y$ . Since  $g$  is continuous, we have  $g(gx_n) \rightarrow gx$  and  $g(gy_n) \rightarrow gy$ . First, suppose that  $F$  is continuous. Then  $F(gx_n, gy_n) \rightarrow F(x, y)$  and  $F(gy_n, gx_n) \rightarrow F(y, x)$ . On other hand, we have  $F(gx_n, gy_n) = gF(x_n, y_n) = g(gx_{n+1}) \rightarrow gx$  and  $F(gy_n, gx_n) = gF(y_n, x_n) = g(gy_{n+1}) \rightarrow gy$ . By uniqueness of limit, we get  $gx = F(x, y)$  and  $gy = F(y, x)$ .

Now, suppose that (ii) holds. Since  $g(x_n)$  is a nondecreasing sequence such that  $g(x_n) \rightarrow x$ ,  $g(y_n)$  is a nonincreasing sequence such that  $g(y_n) \rightarrow y$ , and  $g$  is a nondecreasing function, we get that  $g(gx_n) \preceq gx$  and  $g(gy_n) \succeq g(y)$  holds for all  $n \in N$ . By (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(g(gx_{n+1}), F(x, y)) &= d^2(F(gx_n, gy_n), F(x, y)) \\ &\leq \alpha \min\{d(ggx_{n+1}, ggx_n)d(F(x, y), ggx_n), d(F(x, y), ggx_n)d(ggx_{n+1}, gx)\} \\ &\quad + \beta \min\{d(ggx_{n+1}, gx)d(F(x, y), gx), d(ggx_{n+1}, gx)d(F(x, y), ggx_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $d(g(x), F(x, y)) = 0$  and hence  $g(x) = F(x, y)$ . Similarly, we can show that  $g(y) = F(y, x)$ . Thus we proved that  $F$  and  $g$  have a coupled coincidence point.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ .  $X$  be a mapping such that  $F$  has the mixed monotone property on  $X$  such that there exist two elements  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  with  $x_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $y_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$ . Suppose there exist non-negative real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &\leq \alpha \min\{d(F(x, y), x)d(F(u, v), x), d(F(u, v), x)d(F(x, y), u)\} \\ &\quad + \beta \min\{d(F(x, y), u)d(F(u, v), u), d(F(x, y), u)d(F(u, v), x)\} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$  with  $x \succeq u$  and  $y \preceq v$  and also suppose either  
 (i)  $F$  is continuous or  
 (ii)  $X$  has the following property:  
 (a) if a nondecreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n$ ,  
 (b) if a nonincreasing sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $y \in X$ , then  $y_n \succeq y$  for all  $n$ ,  
 then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ , that is,  $F$  has a coupled fixed point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

**Proof.** In Theorem 3.2, if  $g = I$ , the identity mapping, then we have the result.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings such that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property on  $X$  such that there exist two elements  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  with  $g(x_0) \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $g(y_0) \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$ . Suppose there exist non-negative real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &= (\alpha + \beta) \min \{d(F(x, y), g(x))d(F(u, v), g(x)), d(F(u, v), g(x))d(F(x, y), g(u)), \\ &\quad d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(u)), d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(x))\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$  with  $g(x) \preceq g(u)$  and  $g(y) \succeq g(v)$ . Further suppose  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,  $g$  is continuous nondecreasing and commutes with  $F$ , and also suppose either

- (i)  $F$  is continuous or
- (ii)  $X$  has the following property:
  - (a) if a nondecreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n$ ,

(b) if a nonincreasing sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $y \in X$ , then  $y_n \succeq y$  for all  $n$ , then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that  $F(x, y) = g(x)$  and  $F(y, x) = g(y)$ , that is,  $F$  and  $g$  have a coupled coincident point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

**Proof.** From Theorem 3.2, since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are non-negative real numbers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha + \beta) \min \{d(F(x, y), g(x))d(F(u, v), g(x)), d(F(u, v), g(x))d(F(x, y), g(u)), \\ &\quad d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(u)), d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(x))\} \\ &\leq \alpha \min \{d(F(x, y), g(x))d(F(u, v), g(x)), d(F(u, v), g(x))d(F(x, y), g(u))\} \\ &\quad + \beta \min \{d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(u)), d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(x))\} \end{aligned}$$

Now we will prove the existence and uniqueness theorem of a coupled common fixed point. That is, if  $(X, \preceq)$  is a partially ordered set, then we endow the product space  $X \times X$  with the following partial order: for  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ ,  $(u, v) \preceq (x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \succeq u, y \preceq v$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** For every  $(x, y), (y^*, x^*) \in X \times X$  there exists a  $(u, v) \in X \times X$  such that  $(F(u, v), F(v, u))$  is comparable to  $(F(x, y), F(y, x))$  and  $(F(y^*, x^*), F(y^*, x^*))$ . Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique coupled common fixed point, that is, there exists a unique  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  such that  $x = g(x) = F(x, y)$  and  $y = g(y) = F(y, x)$ .

**Proof.** We know that from Theorem 3.1, the set of coupled coincidence points of  $F$  and  $g$  is non-empty. Suppose  $(x, y)$  and  $(y^*, x^*)$  are coupled coincidence points of  $F$ , that is,  $g(x) = F(x, y)g(y) = F(y, x), g(x^*) = F(y^*, x^*)$  and  $g(y^*) = F(y^*, x^*)$ , then  $g(x) = g(x^*)$  and  $g(y) = g(y^*)$ . (15)

We suppose that, there exists  $(u, v) \in X \times X$  such that  $(F(u, v), F(v, u))$  is comparable with  $(F(x, y), F(y, x))$  and  $(F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))$ . Put  $u_0 = u, v_0 = v$ , and taking  $u_1, v_1 \in X$  so that  $g(u_1) = F(u_0, v_0)$  and  $g(v_1) = F(v_0, u_0)$ . Then, similarly we can proof of Theorem 3.1, we define sequences  $\{g(u_n)\}, \{g(v_n)\}$

$$g(u_{n+1}) = F(u_n, v_n) \text{ and } g(v_{n+1}) = F(v_n, u_n) \text{ for all } n.$$

Now, set  $x_0 = x, y_0 = y, x_0^* = x^*, y_0^* = y$ . and similarly, define the sequences  $\{g(x_n)\}, \{g(y_n)\}$  and  $g(x_n^*), g(y_n^*)$ . Then it is prove that easily

$g(x_n) \rightarrow F(x, y), g(y_n) \rightarrow F(y, x), g(x_n^*) \rightarrow F(x^*, y^*),$   
 and  $g(y_n^*) \rightarrow F(y^*, x^*)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $(F(x, y), F(y, x)) = (g(x_1), g(y_1)) = (g(x), g(y))$   
 and  $(F(u, v), F(v, u)) = (g(u_1), g(v_1))$  are comparable, then  $g(x) \preceq g(u_1)$  and  $g(y) \succeq g(v_1)$ . It is show that easily  $(g(x), g(y))$  and  $(g(u_n), g(v_n))$  are comparable, that is,  $g(x) \preceq g(u_n)$  and  $g(y) \succeq g(v_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then from (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(g(x), g(u_{n+1})) &= d^2(F(x, y), F(u_n, v_n)) \leq \alpha \min \{d(F(x, y), g(v_n))d(F(u, v), g(v_n)), \\ &\quad d(F(x, y), g(u_n))d(F(v_n, u_n), g(u_n))\} \\ &\quad + \beta \min \{d(F(x, y), g(u_n))d(F(u_n, v_n), g(u_n))\}, d(F(x, y), g(u_n))d(F(u_n, v_n), g(u_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F(x, y) = g(x)$ , we have  $d(g(x), g(u_{n+1})) \leq \beta \min \{d(g(x), g(u_n)), d(F(u_n, v_n), g(u_n))\}$ .

Hence

$$d(g(x), g(u_{n+1})) \leq \beta d(g(x), g(u_n)). \tag{16}$$

Now we again from (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(g(v_{n+1}), g(y)) = d^2(F(v_n, u_n), F(y, x)) &\leq \alpha \min\{d(F(v_n, u_n), g(v_n))d(F(x, y), g(v_n)), d(F(y, x), \\ &g(v_n))d(F(y, x), g(y))\} \\ &+ \beta \min\{d(F(v_n, u_n), g(y))d(F(y, x), g(y)), \\ &d(F(v_n, u_n), g(y))d(F(y, x), g(v_n))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F(y, x) = g(y)$ , we have  $d(g(v_{n+1}), g(y)) \leq \alpha \min\{d(F(v_n, u_n), g(v_n)), d(g(y), g(v_n))\}$ .

Hence

$$d(g(v_{n+1}), g(y)) \leq \beta d(g(v_n), g(y)). \tag{17}$$

Then by (17) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(g(x), g(u_{n+1})) + d^2(g(y), g(v_{n+1})) &\leq \beta d^2(g(x), g(u_n)) + \alpha d^2(g(v_n), g(y)) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)[d^2(g(x), g(u_n)) + d^2(g(y), g(v_n))] \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)^2[d^2(g(x), g(u_{n-1})) + d^2(g(y), g(v_{n-1}))] \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)^{n+1}[d^2(g(x), g(u_0)) + d^2(g(y), g(v_0))]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(g(x), g(u_n)) + d(g(y), g(v_n))] = 0$ .

It implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(x), g(u_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(y), g(v_n)) = 0. \tag{18}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(x^*), g(u_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(y^*), g(v_n)) = 0. \tag{19}$$

By the triangle inequality, (18) and (19),

$$d(g(x), g(x^*)) \leq d(g(x), g(u_{n+1})) + d(g(x^*), g(u_{n+1})) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$d(g(y), g(y^*)) \leq d(g(y), g(v_{n+1})) + d(g(y^*), g(v_{n+1})) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have  $g(x) = g(x^*)$  and  $g(y) = g(y^*)$ . Thus we have (16). This implies that  $(g(x), g(y)) = (g(x^*), g(y^*))$ . Since  $g(x) = F(x, y)$  and  $g(y) = F(y, x)$ , by commutativity of F and g, we have

$$g(g(x)) = g(F(x, y)) = F(g(x), g(y)) \text{ and } g(g(y)) = g(F(y, x)) = F(g(y), g(x)). \tag{20}$$

Denote  $g(x) = z, g(y) = w$ . Then from (21),

$$g(z) = F(z, w) \text{ and } g(w) = F(w, z). \tag{21}$$

That is  $(z, w)$  is a coupled coincidence point. Then from (21) with  $x^* = z$  and  $y^* = w$  it follows  $g(z) = g(x)$  and  $g(w) = g(y)$ , that is,



$$g(z) = z \text{ and } g(w) = w. \tag{22}$$

From (21) and (22),  $z = g(z) = F(z, w)$  and  $w = g(w) = F(w, z)$ . Therefore,  $(z, w)$  is a coupled common fixed point of  $F$  and  $g$ .

To prove the uniqueness, suppose that  $(p, q)$  is another coupled common fixed point. Then by (19) we have  $p = g(p) = g(z) = z$  and  $q = g(q) = g(w) = w$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** For every  $(x, y), (y^*, x^*) \in X \times X$  there exists  $a(u, v) \in X \times X$  such that  $(F(u, v), F(v, u))$  is comparable to  $(F(x, y), F(y, x))$  and  $(F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))$ . Then  $F$  has a unique coupled fixed point, that is, there exist a unique  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  such that  $x = F(x, y)$  and  $y = F(y, x)$ .

**Proof.** In Theorem 3.3, if  $g = I$ , the identity mapping, then we have the result.

**Theorem 3.7.** From Theorem 3.1, if  $gx_0$  and  $gy_0$  are comparable then  $F$  and  $g$  have a coupled coincidence point  $(x, y)$  such that  $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.1 we construct two sequences  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  in  $X$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow gx$  and  $gy_n \rightarrow gy$ , where  $(x, y)$  is a coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ . Suppose  $gx_0 \preceq gy_0$ , then it is an easy matter to show that  $gx_n \preceq gy_n$  and for all  $n \in N \cup 0$ . Thus, by (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(gx_n, gy_n) &= d^2(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \alpha \min \{d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), gx_{n-1})d(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), gx_{n-1}), \\ &\quad d(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), gx_{n-1})d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), gy_{n-1})\} \\ &\quad + \beta \min \{d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), gy_{n-1})d(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), gy_{n-1}), \\ &\quad d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), gy_{n-1})d(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), gx_{n-1})\} \\ &= \alpha \min \{d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})d(gy_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gx_{n-1})d(gx_n, gy_{n-1})\} \\ &\quad + \beta \min \{d(gx_n, gy_{n-1})d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}), d(gx_n, gy_{n-1})d(gy_n, gx_{n-1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $d(gx, gy) = 0$ . Hence  $F(x, y) = gx = gy = F(y, x)$ . A similar argument can be used if  $gy_0 \preceq gx_0$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** In addition to hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, if  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are comparable then  $F$  has a coupled fixed point of the form  $(x, x)$ .

**Proof.** From Theorem 3.7, if  $g = I$ , the identity mapping, then we have the result. We proof the Theorem 3.1 with the help of the following example.

**Example 3.1.** Suppose  $X = [0, 1]$ . Then  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set with the natural ordering of real numbers. Suppose  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for  $x, y \in X$ . Define  $g : X \rightarrow X$  by  $g(x) = x^2$  and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  by

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, & \text{if } x \geq y; \\ 0, & \text{if } x < y; \end{cases}$$

Then

- (1)  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space.
- (2)  $g(X)$  is complete.
- (3)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X) = X$ .
- (4)  $X$  satisfies (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1.

(5) F has the mixed g-monotone property.

(6) F and g satisfy

$$d^2(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{1}{5} \min\{d(F(x, y), g(x))d(F(u, v), g(x)), d(F(u, v), g(x))d(F(x, y), g(u))\} \\ + \frac{1}{5} \min\{d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(u)), d(F(x, y), g(u))d(F(u, v), g(x))\}$$

for all  $gx \preceq gu$  and  $gy \succeq gv$ . Thus by Theorem 3.1, F and g have a coupled coincidence point. Moreover (0, 0) is a coupled fixed point of F .

**Proof.** The proofs of (1)-(5) are clear. The proof of (6) is divided into the following cases:  
 Case 1. If  $x \geq y$  and  $u < v$ , then we have

$$d^2(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d^2\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, 0\right) = \left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}\right)^2 \leq \frac{x^4}{100} \leq \frac{9x^4}{50} \\ \leq \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{9x^2}{10} + \frac{y^2}{10}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{5} d^2\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, x^2\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{5} \{d^2\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, x^2\right), d^2(0, x^2)\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{5} \min\{d\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, x^2\right)d(0, x^2), d(0, x^2)\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, x^2\right)\} \\ + \frac{1}{5} \min\{d^2\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, u^2\right)d(0, u^2), d(0, x^2)\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, u^2\right)\}.$$

Case 2. If  $x < y$  and  $u \geq v$ , then

$$d^2(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d^2\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, 0\right) = \left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}\right)^2 \leq \frac{u^4}{100} \leq \frac{9u^4}{50} \\ \leq \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{9u^2}{10} + \frac{v^2}{10}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{5} d^2\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, u^2\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{5} \{d^2\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, u^2\right), d^2(0, u^2)\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{5} \min\{d\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, x^2\right)d(0, x^2), d(0, u^2)\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, x^2\right)\} \\ + \frac{1}{5} \min\{d^2\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, u^2\right)d(0, u^2), d(0, u^2)\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, x^2\right)\}.$$

Case 3. If  $x \leq y$  and  $u \geq v$ , then

$$d^2(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d^2(0, 0) = 0 \leq \frac{1}{5} \min\{d(0, x^2)d(0, x^2), d(0, x^2)d(0, u^2)\} \\ + \frac{1}{5} \min\{d(0, u^2)d(0, u^2), d(0, u^2)d(0, x^2)\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{5} d(0, x^2)d(0, x^2) + \frac{1}{5} d(0, u^2)d(0, u^2).$$

Case 4. If  $x \geq y$  and  $u \geq v$ , then  $v \leq y \leq x \leq u$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^2(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &= d^2\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, \frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{100} |u^2 - v^2 - x^2 + y^2|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{100} |u^2 - x^2 + y^2 - v^2|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{100} u^4 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{5} \min\left\{d^2\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, u^2\right), d^2\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, u^2\right)\right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{5} \min\left\{d\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, x^2\right)d\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, x^2\right), d\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, x^2\right)d\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, u^2\right)\right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{5} \min\left\{d\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, u^2\right)d\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, u^2\right), d\left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{10}, u^2\right)d\left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{10}, x^2\right)\right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In all the above cases, inequality (3) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied for  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{5}$ . Hence by Theorem 3.1,  $(0, 0)$  is a unique coupled coincidence point. Indeed for  $x > y$  we have  $F(y, x) = 0$  and since  $F(y, x) = g(y)$  we have  $y = 0$ . Then  $F(x, 0) = g(x)$  implies  $x = 0$ . The cases  $x = y$  or  $x < y$  are similar.

## References

- [1] I. Altun, H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010) Article ID 621492.
- [2] A. Amini-Harandi, H. Emami, A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (5) (2010) 2238.2242.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily and D. O'Regan, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal. 87 (2008) 1-8.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan and D. O'Regan, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [5] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles absraites et leurs applications, Fund. Math. 3 (1922) 133.181.
- [6] T. G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (2006) 1379-1393.
- [7] L. Ciric, N. Caki., M. Rajovi., J.S. Ume, Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008 (2008) Article ID 131294, 11 pages.
- [8] K.C.Deshmukh, Rakesh Tiwari and Savita Gupta, Generalization of a fixed point theorem of Suzuki type in complete metric space, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics(JPRM), Volume 5, (1)(2015),482-486.

- [9] Z. Drici, F.A. Mcrae, J. Vasundhara Devi, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces for operators with PPF dependence, *Nonlinear Anal.* 67 (2) (2007) 641.647.
- [10] V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis.* 70 (2009) 4341-4349. Y.
- [11] Wu, Z. Liang, Existence and uniqueness of fixed points for mixed monotone operators with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (10) (2006) 1913-1924.
- [12] H.K. Nashine, I. Altun, Fixed point theorems for generalized weakly contractive condition in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed point Theory Appl.* 2011 (2011) Article ID 132367, 20 pages.
- [13] J.J. Nieto, R.R. Lopez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order* 22 (2005) 223.239.
- [14] J.J. Nieto, R.R. Lopez, Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Acta Math. Sinica, Engl. Ser.* 23 (12) (2007) 2205.2212.
- [15] D. O'fregan, A. Petrutel, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2) (2008) 1241.1252.
- [16] A.C.M. Ran, M.C.B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (5) (2004) 1435.1443.
- [17] B. Samet, Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010) 4508.4517.

Rakesh Tiwari  
Department of Mathematics  
Govt.V.Y.T.PG.Autonomous College  
Durg (C.G.)491001  
India.  
e-mail: rakeshtiwari66@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Savita Gupta  
Department of Mathematics  
Shri Shankaracharya Institute of Technology and Management  
Bhilai(C.G.)492001  
India.  
e-mail: savita.gupta17@gmail.com